## Heuristic algorithms

They prune the search space by:

1:using fast approximate methods to select the sequences of the database that are likely to be similar to the query and to locate the similarity region inside them.

2: restricting the alignment process:

- only to the selected sequences
- only to some portions of the sequences


## FASTA \& BLAST story

1985 : FASTP (D. Lipman and W. Pearson) Global gapped alignments

1988 : FASTA (W. Pearson and D. Lipman)
Local gapped alignments

1990 : BLAST1
(S. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller, E. Myers, and D. Lipman)

Local ungapped alignments
Gapped BLASTs :
1996: WU-BLAST2 (W. Gish)
1997: NCBI-BLAST2 (and PSI-BLAST)
(S. Altschul, T. Madden, A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zhang,
W. Miller and D. Lipman)

## FASTA ALGORITHM



Identify all k-tuple matches


## Apply joining procedure

$\longrightarrow$ Initn score

score the 10 best scoring regions using a scoring matrix
$>$ Init1 score


Apply limited DP
$\longrightarrow$ Opt score

## BLAST1 ALGORITHM

## First step:

For each position $p$ of the query, find the list or words of length $w$ scoring more than $T$ when paired with the word starting at $p$ :


## Second step:

For each words list, identify all exact matches with DB sequences:


## BLAST1 ALGORITHM

Third step:
For each word match («hit»), extend ungapped alignment in both directions. Stop when $S$ decreases by more than $X$ from the highest value reached by $S$.


Reports all HSPs having score $S$ above a threshold, or equivalently, having E-value below a threshold.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { E-value }= & \text { the number of HSPs having score S (or higher) } \\
& \text { expected to occur only by chance. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Apply sum-statistics to evaluate the significance of a combination of HSPs involving the same DB sequence.


## NCBI-BLAST2

The «two-hits» requirement

First step: as with BLAST1, generate lists of words scoring more than T with words of the query.

Second step: generation of hits: identify all word matches in DB sequences

Third step: extension of hits: requires a second hit on the same diagonal at a distance of less than $A$.


This step generates ungapped HSPs

Fourth step: gapped extension of HSPs having score above a threshold $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{g}}$

## WU-BLAST2

First step: as with BLAST1, generate lists of words scoring more than T with words of the query.

Second step: generation of hits: identify all words matches with the DB sequences

Third step: ungapped extension of hits :
. default's behavior: «one-hit» requirement (as BLAST1) . «hitdist» option: «two-hits» requirement (as ncbi-BLAST2)

Fourth step: HSPs with score S above a threshold trigger gapped extensions
«nogap» option: fourth step is not performed

Evaluates the statistical signifance of multiple local alignments using
«Sum statistics»

## Ungapped and gapped extensions



Ungapped extension


Gapped extension by «banded DP»


Gapped extension by full DP


Gapped extension by «score-limited DP»

## Statistics of alignments scores

Question: Was is the probability of chance occurence of an alignment having score $S$ or greater?
$\longrightarrow$ We need to know the random distribution of the scores, i.e. the distribution of alignment scores under a random model

Global alignments: the distribution is not known

Local alignments without gaps:
theoretical work: Karlin-Altschul statistics
$\rightarrow$ Extreme-value distribution

Local alignments with gaps: empirical studies
$\rightarrow$ Extreme-value distribution

## Karlin-Altschul statistics

$\longrightarrow$ Apply to local ungapped alignments

## Random Model:

## - Random sequences:

Independent and identically distributed residues, taken with background probabilities $p_{i}, p_{j}$.

## - Random variable:

S, score of the MSP (Maximal Segment Pair)

- Scoring system:

A set of similarity scores, $S_{i, j}$, such as:

- at least one of the scores $S_{i, j}$ is strictly positive
- the expected score for a random pair of residues has to be negative:

$$
\sum_{i, j} p_{i} p_{j} S_{i, j} \leq 0
$$

Under this random model and given that the lengths of the two sequences being compared are large, $S$ follows an Extreme-Value distribution.

## The Extreme Value Distribution


(from W. Gish, 1996)
searching /local/databases/fasta/sptrnrdb library


## Karlin-Altschul statistics

p-value: probability that there is at least one random MSP having score $\mathbf{S}$ or greater.

$$
p(s c o r e \geq S)=1-\exp \left(-K m n e^{-\lambda S}\right)
$$

E-value: expected number of random MSP having score $S$ or greater.

$$
E(S)=K m n e^{-\lambda S}
$$

Analytical formulas are available, enabling to calculate $\lambda$ and $K$ from the parameters of the random model (i.e. background probabilities, similarity scores, lengths of the sequences)

Normalized scores: $S^{\prime}=\lambda S-\ln K$

Bit scores: $\quad S^{\prime}=\frac{\lambda S-\ln K}{\ln 2} \quad E\left(S^{\prime}\right)=m n 2^{-S^{\prime}}$

## Statistics of local gapped alignments

Empirically shown that they follow an extreme-value distribution.

Need of empirical simulations of the random distribution in order to calculate its parameters.

Blast2 (both of them):
artificial random sequences

Fasta:
uses results from the search: real unrelated sequences

